

**MD 24 - SECTION A, FROM DEER CREEK BRIDGE TO 1,800 FEET SOUTH OF THE BRIDGE; AND SECTION G,
FROM 900 FEET SOUTH OF SHARON ROAD TO 1,700 FEET NORTH OF FERNCLIFF LANE
CONTRACT NO. HA3345171
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT RISK
COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL
FINAL SELECTION RESULTS**

The State Highway Administration has made a determination that Corman Construction, Inc. is the most advantageous to the State, considering the technical evaluation factors and price evaluation as set forth in the Request for Proposals (RFP). The results of these evaluations are outlined below:

CONTRACTOR	TECHNICAL RATING	EVALUATED PRICE
Corman Construction, Inc.	GOOD	\$782,720.00
Kiewit Infrastructure South Co.	ACCEPTABLE+	\$745,170.00

As stated in the RFP, the Technical Proposal is significantly more important than the Price Proposal.

DEFINITIONS

Technical Rating: The overall adjectival rating of the Contractor’s technical proposal.

Adjectival Rating Definitions: A quality rating assigned for the overall quality rating of each proposal based on the following quality rating criteria:

Exceptional – The Proposer has demonstrated an approach that is considered to significantly exceed stated objectives/requirements in beneficial way to the Administration. This rating indicates a consistently outstanding level of quality, with very little or no risk that this Proposer would fail to meet the requirements of the solicitation. There are essentially no Weaknesses as defined in the Request for Proposals.

Good – The Proposer has demonstrated an approach that is considered to exceed stated objectives/requirements. This rating indicates a generally better than acceptable quality, with little risk that this Proposer would fail to meet the requirements of the solicitation. Weaknesses, if any, are very minor.

Acceptable – The Proposer has demonstrated an approach that is considered to meet the stated objectives/requirements. This rating indicates an acceptable level of quality. The Proposer demonstrates a reasonable probability of success. Weaknesses are minor and can be corrected.

Susceptible to Become Acceptable – The Proposer has demonstrated an approach that fails to meet stated criteria as there are weaknesses and/or deficiencies, but they are susceptible to correction through Discussions. The response is considered marginal in terms of the basic content and/or amount of information provided for evaluation, but overall the Proposer is capable of providing an acceptable or better Proposal.

Unacceptable – The Proposer has demonstrated an approach that indicates significant weaknesses/deficiencies and/or unacceptable quality. The Proposal fails to meet the stated criteria and/or lacks essential information and is conflicting and/or unproductive. There is no reasonable likelihood of success. Weaknesses/deficiencies are so major and/or extensive that a major revision to the Proposal would be necessary.

Evaluated Price: The total price of the Contractor's Preconstruction Fee and the Construction Cost for the specific items identified in the Price Proposal.